Environment Scrutiny Review of On-Street Parking

Response to Recommendations

Head of Planning and Transportation - PT Transportation Manager - TM Highways Network Manager – HNM

Recommendation 3a	The review group recomme Hereford City	nds the Executive commission	on detailed research into the u	use of car parks within
Cabinet's Response	Accepted.			
Action	Owner	When	Target	Progress
Detailed assessment of parking supply and demand and forecasts	ТМ	Report is due to be completed later in 2009	Study completed and forecast supply and demand included.	Draft received
currently in progress.				
Recommendation 3b		3a the review group recomme parking strategy is develope	ends that the Head of Plannin d in the Hereford Area Plan.	g and Transportation
Cabinet's Response	Accepted. However, a Cour	ntywide Parking Strategy alread	ady exists within the Council's	s current Local Transport
	Plan. This will be revised th	rough the development of the	e next LTP.	
Action	Owner	When	Target	Progress
Review the Countywide Car Parking Strategy set out in the current LTP for inclusion in the 3 rd LTP.	ТМ	2011	Revised Car Parking Strategy set out in the LTP.	
Recommendation 3c	reduction framework for tow the City and our Market Tow	n centres. Parking should be vns. It is essential to develop	vision of parking should be de seen as an opportunity for in this mindset before future pla	anning takes place.
Cabinet's Response			outlined in the Council's current nt when developing the next I	
Action	Owner	When	Target	Progress
Review the Countywide Car Parking Strategy set	ТМ	2011	Revised Car Parking Strategy set out in the	

Appendix 1

out in the current LTP for			LTP.		
inclusion in the 3 rd LTP to					
ensure it take account of					
the twin aims of traffic reduction and					
accessibility.					
Recommendation 4a	The Review Group recomm	ends that a new residents' na	king scheme as outlined in /	1 13 above is introduced for	
Neconmendation 4a	The Review Group recommends that a new residents' parking scheme as outlined in 4.13 above is introduced for all existing schemes to eliminate the issuing of a visitors' permit that can be used on any vehicle.				
Cabinet's Response			of two permits per dwelling (at		
			the resident. It is considered		
			of 'scratch cards' would add t		
			nsham area of Hereford sugg		
			is recommendation were to b		
			is would divert resources awa		
			s. Consideration will be giver		
		se of visitor permits. This will	also take into account potent	ial removal of permits where	
	misuse has been proven.			_	
Action	Owner	When	Target	Progress	
Review enforcement	HNM	December 2009	Approach is reviewed and		
processes for use of visitor			clarified.		
permits and possible					
sanctions in response to misuse.					
Recommendation 4b	It is recommended that the i	introduction of the new reside	ents' parking scheme should b	e accompanied by clear	
Neconiniendation 45					
	promotional material explaining why the changes are deemed necessary and highlighting the increased flexibility the new scheme provides for two car households and emphasizing that residents do not have a "right" to park				
	outside their house.				
Cabinet's Response		is not accepted, it is accepted	d that the introduction of any r	new schemes should be	
•	accompanied by clear public	city and information for reside	ents that are affected.		
Action	Owner	When	Target	Progress	
No action					
Recommendation 4c			nitted to purchase visitors' scr		
			arking area. Proof of the prop	perty owner's residency and	
	the nature of the work shoul				
Cabinet's Response	Do not accept. Administration	one difficulties with the issue	and control of corotab corda	Duildana' yakialaa aan ha	

	residents of St James and E changes to the existing sch existing Traffic Regulation C addressing safety and spee	sed hoardings when waiting re Bartonsham area of Hereford emes. If this recommendation Orders. This would divert reso d concerns. However, it is act clarified and made transpare	suggests there may not be w n were to be accepted, this w urces away from higher prior knowledged that the approac ent to avoid confusion and po	vide public support for vould require changes to ity traffic schemes aimed at th to enforcing tradesmen's possible misuse.
Action	Owner	When	Target	Progress
Clarify approach to enforcing tradesmen's parking in controlled parking areas	HNM	December 2009	Written procedure produced.	
Recommendation 4d	available free on-street park	<u> </u>		ce within the currently
Cabinet's Response	Accepted, current schemes	already allow for this provision		
Action	Owner	When	Target	Progress
No action				
Recommendation 4e	It is recommended that the	houndaries of proposed new	echamae should ha dafinad l	by officers using their
		s within a proposed scheme s		
Cabinet's Response	experience. Individual roads Accepted in principal. How		hould not be allowed to opt o I continue to take into accour	out of the whole scheme. In representations received
	experience. Individual roads Accepted in principal. How	s within a proposed scheme s ever, the Cabinet Member wil	hould not be allowed to opt o I continue to take into accour	out of the whole scheme. In representations received
Cabinet's Response	experience. Individual roads Accepted in principal. Howe from residents when consid	s within a proposed scheme s ever, the Cabinet Member wil ering the introduction of new	hould not be allowed to opt on l continue to take into accour Residents Parking Schemes	out of the whole scheme. the representations received
Cabinet's Response Action Assessments undertaken with consideration of	experience. Individual roads Accepted in principal. Howe from residents when consid Owner HNM The Review Group recomm future, where small scheme	s within a proposed scheme s ever, the Cabinet Member wil ering the introduction of new When Ongoing ends that the residents' scher s exist for particular or histori	hould not be allowed to opt of continue to take into accour Residents Parking Schemes. Target mes in East Street and Castl c reasons and, in the opinion	e Street be amalgamated. In
Cabinet's Response Action Assessments undertaken with consideration of requests	experience. Individual roads Accepted in principal. Howe from residents when consid Owner HNM The Review Group recomm future, where small scheme usefully amalgamated, then residents. This is a specific ward matter in accordance with the Court judgement is a key part of the	within a proposed scheme s ever, the Cabinet Member wil ering the introduction of new When Ongoing ends that the residents' scher s exist for particular or histori this should be taken as a ma	hould not be allowed to opt of continue to take into accour Residents Parking Schemes. Target mes in East Street and Castl c reasons and, in the opinion anagement decision and will n y, the Council's service delive or Traffic Regulation Orders. fic Regulation Orders will be	e Street be amalgamated. In not require a majority vote of ery partner, for consideration Whilst professional made in consultation with
Cabinet's Response Action Assessments undertaken with consideration of requests Recommendation 4f	experience. Individual roads Accepted in principal. Howe from residents when consid Owner HNM The Review Group recomm future, where small scheme usefully amalgamated, then residents. This is a specific ward matter in accordance with the Court judgement is a key part of the	within a proposed scheme s ever, the Cabinet Member wil ering the introduction of new When Ongoing ends that the residents' scheme is exist for particular or histori this should be taken as a mater and will be referred to Americal's policies and protocols for his work, any changes to Traf	hould not be allowed to opt of continue to take into accour Residents Parking Schemes. Target mes in East Street and Castl c reasons and, in the opinion anagement decision and will n y, the Council's service delive or Traffic Regulation Orders. fic Regulation Orders will be	e Street be amalgamated. In not require a majority vote of ery partner, for consideration Whilst professional made in consultation with

		Regulation Order protocol and ranking list.		
Recommendation 4g	the medieval city where resi short stay parking for shopp permits issued to the street necessary to provide reside	ends the introduction of resid idents' schemes exist and spe ing. The number of spaces p and should not be collocated nt only bays on edge of town	ecific problems are encounter rovided should only be a prop with individual addresses. In centre roads also.	red with a high volume of portion of the number of future it may become
Cabinet's Response	Accept. Current policies allo	ow for the provision of resider	nts only bays when appropria	te.
Action	Owner	When	Target	Progress
Note comment and review as necessary.	HNM	Ongoing		
Recommendation 4h	parking, the Review Group i supply of daily scratch cards	emises exist within a resident requests that the Parking Tea s at a discount for events/mat as are in place to prevent miss	m work with the group to ena ches set in advance. The par	able them to purchase a
Cabinet's Response		f 'scratch cards' would add to		ent costs. Variations to
Action	Owner	When	Target	Progress
Action No action	Owner	When	Target	Progress
	The Review Group recomm signing and lining of restricted works is instigated to rectify	When ends the Head of Highways u ed parking areas within the co them. Further, that a prioritis is to ensure effective future m	indertake an immediate revie ounty. Where deficiencies are ed system of fault reporting b	w of deficiencies in the found that a programme of
No action	The Review Group recomm signing and lining of restricte works is instigated to rectify the Civil Enforcement Team Noted. It is recognised that Existing Highway Inspection	ends the Head of Highways u ed parking areas within the co them. Further, that a prioritis	Indertake an immediate revie ounty. Where deficiencies are ed system of fault reporting b naintenance. Ts safe enforcement of Traffi and lining together with the re	w of deficiencies in the found that a programme of be set up in conjunction with c Regulation Orders. eporting of missing signs by
No action Recommendation 4i Cabinet's Response Action	The Review Group recomm signing and lining of restricted works is instigated to rectify the Civil Enforcement Team Noted. It is recognised that Existing Highway Inspection the Civil Enforcement Office Owner	ends the Head of Highways used parking areas within the contract them. Further, that a prioritis is to ensure effective future magood signing practice supports include a review of signing	Indertake an immediate revie ounty. Where deficiencies are ed system of fault reporting b naintenance. Ts safe enforcement of Traffi and lining together with the re	w of deficiencies in the found that a programme of be set up in conjunction with c Regulation Orders. eporting of missing signs by
No action Recommendation 4i	The Review Group recomm signing and lining of restricted works is instigated to rectify the Civil Enforcement Team Noted. It is recognised that Existing Highway Inspection the Civil Enforcement Office	ends the Head of Highways used parking areas within the contract of them. Further, that a prioritist is to ensure effective future magood signing practice supports include a review of signing pers. Repairs and replacement	Indertake an immediate revie ounty. Where deficiencies are ed system of fault reporting b naintenance. Its safe enforcement of Traffi and lining together with the re ts are undertaken as budgets	w of deficiencies in the found that a programme of be set up in conjunction with c Regulation Orders. eporting of missing signs by allow.
No action Recommendation 4i Cabinet's Response Action	The Review Group recomm signing and lining of restricted works is instigated to rectify the Civil Enforcement Team Noted. It is recognised that Existing Highway Inspection the Civil Enforcement Office Owner HNM The Review Group recomm	ends the Head of Highways used parking areas within the contract of them. Further, that a prioritist is to ensure effective future magood signing practice supports include a review of signing pers. Repairs and replacement	Indertake an immediate revie bunty. Where deficiencies are ed system of fault reporting b naintenance. Its safe enforcement of Traffi and lining together with the re ts are undertaken as budgets Target Instigate a review of the restrict	w of deficiencies in the e found that a programme of be set up in conjunction with c Regulation Orders. eporting of missing signs by allow. Progress cted waiting times within the
No action Recommendation 4i Cabinet's Response Action No action	The Review Group recomm signing and lining of restricted works is instigated to rectify the Civil Enforcement Team Noted. It is recognised that Existing Highway Inspection the Civil Enforcement Office Owner HNM The Review Group recomm historic core of Hereford city turnover.	ends the Head of Highways used parking areas within the contract of them. Further, that a prioritist is to ensure effective future migood signing practice supports include a review of signing them. Repairs and replacement when the Head of Highways in	Indertake an immediate revie bunty. Where deficiencies are ed system of fault reporting b naintenance. Its safe enforcement of Traffi and lining together with the re ts are undertaken as budgets Target Instigate a review of the restrict se down to more appropriate	w of deficiencies in the e found that a programme of be set up in conjunction with c Regulation Orders. eporting of missing signs by allow. Progress cted waiting times within the times to promote a higher

Revise Traffic Regulation Order ranking list and review in accord with final position.	HNM	Ranking January 2010	As determined by ranking process	
Recommendation 4k	applied to commercial loadi businesses that use their pr private vehicle registration b whichever method is most e	1	cilitate their use for un/loading ipport. Alternatively, that a co sses to enable them to use th	g by locally owned small ounty-wide scheme of ne commercial loading bays,
Cabinet's Response	countywide relaxation would some recent orders. When	ividual Traffic Regulation Ord I not be appropriate. Howeve changes are undertaken this	er, the principle is accepted an approach will be adopted whe	nd has been implemented in
Action	Owner	When	Target	Progress
New and revised loading bays to allow use of private vehicles for business support	HNM	Ongoing		
Recommendation 5a	introduction of on-street par more sustainable modes of	commend the introduction of king charges should be detail transport.		
Cabinet's Response	Accept. Comment noted.		Torrat	Due avec e
Action	Owner	When	Target	Progress
The review of car parking strategy will consider the role of on-street parking as party of the wider strategy.	ТМ	2011	Revised Car Parking Strategy set out in the LTP.	
Recommendation 5b	regeneration of individual st front and then recouping co	nds that should community-le reets within the city centre, th sts by the introduction of chai	en consideration should be g rges within the streets that ha	iven to funding these up ave benefited.
Cabinet's Response	Comment noted. Should spo by case basis.	ecific schemes come forward	, the Cabinet Member will co	nsider proposals on a case
Action	Owner	When	Target	Progress
No action				
Recommendation 5c		nds that all future income from		

	geographical location that the point of payment for parking	ne income is earned. Outcom	es from this investment strate	egy should be promoted at		
Cabinet's Response	Do not accept. Income from car parking currently forms a substantial element of the base budget for the Environment and Culture Directorate. In 2008/9 this amounted to £1,990,570. It would not be financially sustainable to re-allocate this money to strategic environmental improvements.					
Action	Owner	When	Target	Progress		
No action.						
Recommendation 6a	introduced when viable sust point that charges could be	The review group recommends that increased on-street parking controls in the form of charges should only be introduced when viable sustainable alternative options for city boundary parking are already in place. It is at this point that charges could be used to promote the sustainable alternatives and promote congestion reduction.				
Cabinet's Response		significant changes to the cos I need to be closely linked to a				
Action	Owner	When	Target	Progress		
Note comment and take	ТМ	2011	Revised Car Parking			
into account in review of LTP.			Strategy set out in the LTP.			
Recommendation 6b		nds that a targeted campaigr sidered to be experiencing hi				
Cabinet's Response	Accepted. The Council already has an active campaign of promoting and monitoring school travel plans. Targeting takes into account 'value for money' indicators such as density of catchment, road safety history and current modal split at a school. It is worth noting that school travel plans are not compulsory and hence promotion needs to be based around consensus and encouragement. In a large rural county many of the demands placed on our school travel plan programme relate to difficulties of accessing remote rural schools and hence there is a real need to manage limited budgets with skill to achieve both reduced car use and improved, safer access.					
Action	Owner	When	Target	Progress		
Deliver school travel initiatives as part of the Local Transport Plan programme	ТМ	Ongoing	To deliver the LTP target for reducing car use on the journey to school.	-		
Recommendation 6c		nds that the Head of Planning public to private non-residen see 7).				
Cabinet's Response		elopment Plan (UDP) already nt private non-residential par				

	that the Edgar Street Grid p redevelopment of the area.	roposals provide an opportun	ity to shift this balance furthe	r through the planned	
Action	Owner	When	Target	Progress	
This policy issue will be	PT	Autumn 2010 – publication	Ensure land use planning		
thoroughly reviewed as		of Hereford Plan options.	policies support parking		
part of the development of		Adoption of Hereford Plan	strategy and promote		
the Hereford Plan.		– Summer 2012	sustainable transport		
		(anticipated Local	options.		
		Development Scheme			
		timescales)			
Recommendation 7a		nds that the Head of Planning		s instigates the development	
		strategy as part of the Heref	ord Area Plan.		
Cabinet's Response	Accepted. As per response	[1 -	
Action	Owner	When	Target	Progress	
See 3b					
Recommendation 7b		ake recommendations to cha would be counterproductive a			
Cabinet's Response	Accepted.				
Action	Owner	When	Target	Progress	
No action required.					
Recommendation 7c		nds that the routes connectin mprovements to ensure that			
Cabinet's Response	Accept. Clearly, it is importa	int to improve key pedestrian	access corridors in Hereford	's central area and this is an	
-	important element of the LTP strategy. In addition, the Council has secured additional resources and				
		te development and will be s	eeking to secure significant p	edestrian improvements as	
	part of the ESG development		1	1	
Action	Owners	When	Target	Progress	
To continue to implement	HNM – Widemarsh Street	2010	Scheme completed to a		
the LTP strategy and			high standard providing		
improve key pedestrian			significant improvements		
routes in Hereford's			for pedestrians,		
central area. Current			particularly those coming		
scheme being progressed			from Garrick House		
is comprehensive			parking location.		
improvement of	TM – ESG	Ongoing,			

Widemarsh Street. Seek to secure significant improvements for pedestrian access through the ESG development.	TM – LTP review	2011	Better linkages between the city centre and car parks, public transport and employment sites as a result of well planned ESG development. Revised pedestrian	
Recommendation 8a	a mobile phone cashless pa system needs to be develop applying penalties for unsus in the future, the Review Gro	nyment system for all of the c bed to ensure it has the capac stainable use of the transport oup recommends that this sy	access strategy in LTP3. ays investigates the developm ounty's car parks as outlined city for automatically rewardin network. Further, when on-st stem has the ability to provide ts of charging and ensuring ac	above. At the outset, this g sustainable behaviour and reet charges are introduced e all registered users with
Cabinet's Response		nise technical developments us encourage use of car park	with regards to payment for pact	arking and support
Action	Owner	M/h a ra	Tannak	_
	Owner	When	Target	Progress
To instigate a review of payment by mobile telephone.	HNM	October 2009	January 2010	Progress
To instigate a review of payment by mobile telephone. Recommendation 9a	HNM The review group recomme be lifted and further sites for	October 2009 nds that the current moratori r additional parking be invest	January 2010 um on new cycle parking facil igated and introduced.	ities in High Town, Hereford,
To instigate a review of payment by mobile telephone.	HNM The review group recomme be lifted and further sites for Accepted. The Council's LT cycle network. There is alread	October 2009 nds that the current moratorion r additional parking be investion P firmly supports the provision ady a substantial amount of c	January 2010 um on new cycle parking facil	ities in High Town, Hereford, omponent of the overall the Hereford central area
To instigate a review of payment by mobile telephone. Recommendation 9a	HNM The review group recomme be lifted and further sites for Accepted. The Council's LT cycle network. There is alrea and at key locations such as	October 2009 nds that the current moratorion r additional parking be investion P firmly supports the provision ady a substantial amount of c	January 2010 um on new cycle parking facil igated and introduced. in of cycle parking as a key co cycle parking provided within t	ities in High Town, Hereford, omponent of the overall the Hereford central area